Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/715,520	KASAI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stefan Staicovici	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Stefan Staicovici</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Kenneth Salen .	. (4)	
Date of Interview: 10 November 2006	Time:	·
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.	·	
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		·
Claims discussed:		
,		•
Prior art documents discussed: Those of record		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Examiner Staicovici proposed minor changes to independ the claims over the prior art of record. Mr. Salen agreed to	dent claim 1 in order to provide clea	ar and supported language to define
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief such as the second of t	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
· .		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)